

A novel ISWI is involved in VSG expression site downregulation in African trypanosomes

Katie Hughes¹, Matthew Wand¹, Lucy Foulston¹, Rosanna Young¹, Kate Harley¹ Stephen Terry¹, Klaus Ersfeld² and Gloria Rudenko^{1,}*

¹Peter Medawar Building for Pathogen Research, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK and ²Department of Biological Sciences, University of Hull, Hull, UK

African trypanosomes show monoallelic expression of one of about 20 telomeric variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) gene-expression sites (ESs) while multiplying in the mammalian bloodstream. We screened for genes involved in ES silencing using flow cytometry and RNA interference (RNAi). We show that a novel member of the ISWI family of SWI2/SNF2-related chromatin-remodelling proteins (TbISWI) is involved in ES downregulation in Trypanosoma brucei. TbISWI has an atypical protein architecture for an ISWI, as it lacks characteristic SANT domains. Depletion of TbISWI by RNAi leads to 30-60-fold derepression of ESs in bloodstream-form T. brucei, and 10–17-fold derepression in insect form *T. brucei*. We show that although blocking synthesis of TbISWI leads to derepression of silent VSG ES promoters, this does not lead to fully processive transcription of silent ESs, or an increase in ES-activation rates. VSG ES activation in African trypanosomes therefore appears to be a multistep process, whereby an increase in transcription from a silent ES promoter is necessary but not sufficient for full ES activation.

The EMBO Journal (2007) 26, 2400-2410. doi:10.1038/ sj.emboj.7601678; Published online 12 April 2007 Subject Categories: microbiology & pathogens Keywords: antigenic variation; ISWI; monoallelic expression; regulated domains; Trypanosoma brucei

Introduction

Monoallelic transcription of one out of a large family of related genes is a little understood phenomenon in a variety of experimental systems. Examples of this include control of the olfactory receptor genes, whereby only one out of more than 1500 olfactory receptor genes is activated in a mutually exclusive manner in each olfactory neuron (Serizawa et al, 2004; Lomvardas et al, 2006). Likewise, in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum, only one of about 50 VAR gene transcription units is activated in stringently monoallelic fashion (Scherf, 2006; Voss et al, 2006). Similarly, African

*Corresponding author. The Peter Medawar Building for Pathogen Research, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3SY, UK. Tel.: +44 1865 281 548; Fax: +44 1865 281 894; E-mail: gloria.rudenko@medawar.ox.ac.uk

Received: 8 January 2007; accepted: 15 March 2007; published online: 12 April 2007

trypanosomes show monoallelic expression of one of many highly similar telomeric variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) expression sites (ESs) while multiplying in the bloodstream of the mammalian host. Very little is known about how the counting machinery behind this stringent control operates.

The African trypanosome Trypanosoma brucei is a protozoan parasite causing African sleeping sickness, transmitted by tsetse flies. Bloodstream-form T. brucei is covered with a homogeneous coat of a single VSG. Although trypanosomes have more than 1200 VSG genes and pseudogenes (Berriman et al, 2005), the active VSG is transcribed in a tightly regulated fashion from one of about 20 telomeric VSG ES transcription units (Borst and Ulbert, 2001; Becker et al, 2004). During a chronic infection, bloodstream-form *T. brucei* successively switches to new VSG types, allowing escape from antibodies against the old VSG. VSG switching can be mediated by activating different ESs or via DNA rearrangements inserting one of many silent VSG genes or pseudogenes into an active ES (Barry and McCulloch, 2001). In contrast, in insect-form T. brucei, all of the ESs are downregulated, as this life-cycle stage expresses an invariant procyclin coat on its surface rather than VSG (Roditi and Liniger, 2002).

Unusually for a eukaryote, transcription of ESs, as well as of the procyclin transcription units, is mediated by RNA polymerase I (pol I) rather than RNA polymerase II (pol II) (Günzl et al, 2003). In bloodstream-form T. brucei, the active ES is located in an extranucleolar pol I transcriptional body (expression-site body or ESB), which is hypothesised to contain the transcription and RNA-processing factories necessary for high levels of expression of fully processed transcripts (Vanhamme et al, 2000; Navarro and Gull, 2001). Only a single ES can be stably activated at a time, and selection for simultaneous activation of two different ESs gives rise to trypanosomes which appear to be rapidly switching between the two (Chaves et al, 1999). ESs are controlled as regulated domains and activation of exogenous promoters, integrated at the chromosome end, cannot be uncoupled from activation of the endogenous ES promoter many tens of kilobases upstream (Horn and Cross, 1995).

Downregulation of ESs differs in a number of characteristics in insect-form compared with bloodstream-form T. brucei. In insect-form T. brucei, all ESs are downregulated. There are low levels of transcription from silent ESs in both life-cycle stages, but these levels are significantly higher in the insect-form (Rudenko et al, 1994). Second, ES downregulation is promoter sequence specific in insect-form T. brucei, whereas in bloodstream-form T. brucei, both ES and rDNA promoters located within an ES are silenced essentially equally effectively (Rudenko et al, 1994, 1995; Horn and Cross, 1995). Last, it has been postulated that there is chromatin-mediated silencing of ESs in insect-form, but not in bloodstream-form *T. brucei*, as assessed using exogenous T7 RNA polymerase to probe for ES chromatin accessibility in both of these life-cycle stages (Navarro et al, 1999). It is possible that ES downregulation is mediated primarily at the level of transcription elongation rather than transcription initiation (discussed in Pays et al, 2004).

We have developed an experimental approach allowing us to screen candidate genes for their role in ES downregulation. Constructs containing fluorescent reporter genes (DsRed or GFP) were inserted downstream of downregulated ES promoters in both insect and bloodstream-form T. brucei. Tetracycline-inducible RNA interference (RNAi) was induced against candidate genes, and ES derepression was monitored by flow cytometry. We identify the first gene shown to play a role in ES control in T. brucei, and show that it is a new member of the ISWI family of chromatin-remodelling proteins.

Results

Monitoring expression from downregulated VSG ESs by flow cytometry

We attempted to identify proteins, which, if depleted by RNAi, cause ES derepression. Our approach was to integrate a construct containing DsRed (encoding red fluorescent protein) 216 bp downstream of different endogenous ES promoters into insect-form T. brucei 29-13 (Wirtz et al, 1999) (construct ESDsB in Figure 1A). This T. brucei cell line contains genes encoding T7 RNA polymerase and the tetracycline repressor allowing tetracycline-inducible expression. Clonal T. brucei transformants were isolated with the ESDsB construct integrated into chromosomal bands containing either the VSG121 or VSG221 ESs (Figure 1A). Using PCR, the constructs were shown to be linked to ES-associated genes (ESAG)7, the most upstream of the ESAGs.

Expression of DsRed in T. brucei can be monitored by flow cytometry (Figure 1B). Insect-form T. brucei not containing DsRed (TBT) did not fluoresce, whereas T. brucei with DsRed inserted downstream of a highly active rDNA promoter showed high levels of DsRed expression (Dr1 and Dr2 in Figure 1B). This did not lead to a growth arrest, indicating that DsRed is not significantly toxic in insect-form T. brucei. In contrast, trypanosomes containing the DsRed construct integrated behind inactive ES promoters (D1-D4) only showed levels of fluorescence that were marginally higher than in trypanosomes not containing a DsRed gene (Figure 1B).

TbISWI is a member of the ISWI family of SWI2/SNF2related chromatin-remodelling complexes

We next tested if proteins previously found to bind DNA sequences present in transcriptionally silent regions of the T. brucei genome play a role in ES downregulation. TbISWI was originally identified in a screen for DNA-binding proteins interacting with the *T. brucei* 177 bp simple sequence repeats, which constitute the bulk of the transcriptionally inactive




Figure 1 Monitoring ES derepression using flow cytometry in insect-form T. brucei. (A) The schematic shows the ESDsB construct integrating downstream of a VSG ES promoter. Various genes present within ESs are indicated with black boxes, and characteristic repeat arrays with striped boxes. The construct contains DsRed (DRed) and blasticidin resistance (Blast) genes flanked by tubulin (white boxes) or actin (black box) RNA-processing signals. Below: pulsed field gel analysis of insect-form T. brucei ESDsB transformants, where DNA from the parental T. brucei 29-13 cell line (lane P) is compared with that from T. brucei 29-13 transformants D1-D4 (lanes D1-D4). An ethidium stain of the gel (Eth.) is shown with size markers indicated in megabases and the slot indicated with an arrow. The gel was blotted and hybridised with a probe for DsRed (panel DsRed). Chromosomal bands containing either the VSG221 or VSG121 ESs are indicated with arrows. (B) Levels of expression of the DsRed gene in inactive ESs in insect-form T. brucei as measured by flow cytometry. Above: representative flow cytometry traces are shown, with DsRed expression monitored in the FL-2 channel (x axis). The T. brucei 29-13 TBT cell line (TBT) does not contain DsRed. The T. brucei 29–13 D1-D4 cell lines contain DsRed integrated behind different ES promoters. In the T. brucei 29–13 Dr1 and Dr2 cell lines, a DsRed containing construct was integrated behind an rDNA promoter in T. brucei 29-13. Quantitation of DsRed expression from different DsRed containing T. brucei lines was measured as total mean fluorescence (arbitrary units). Results are the mean of six experiments, with standard deviation indicated by error bars.

T. brucei minichromosomes (Wickstead et al., 2004). T. brucei proteins binding 177 bp repeat containing sequences were isolated and identified by mass spectrometry. These results will be presented elsewhere (Tilston V and K Ersfeld, manuscript in preparation). One of the proteins isolated (provisionally called TbISWI) was found to have a highly conserved SNF2 N-terminal domain (Eisen et al, 1995) (e value of e^{-110}), a conserved helicase domain $(5e^{-32})$ and a region resembling a myb-like DNA-binding domain $(6e^{-9})$ (Figure 2A). SNF2 domains have DNA-dependent ATPase activity, and are present in the SWI2/SNF2-related class of proteins involved in chromatin remodelling (Mohrmann and Verrijzer, 2005). One

of the subclasses of the SWI2/SNF2 family comprises members of the ISWI family (Corona and Tamkun, 2004; Mellor and Morillon, 2004). Sequence database interrogation with the TbISWI sequence preferentially identified ISWI family members from other species. High sequence similarity was found over the SNF2-domain-containing region, particularly over seven regions containing highly conserved ATPase/ helicase motifs (Figure 2B).

Members of the ISWI family are recognisable by the presence of both an SNF2 domain and a SANT/SLIDE domain, which is an ISWI-specific subclass of myb domain with DNA-binding activity (Boyer et al, 2004). TbISWI has a myb




Figure 2 T. brucei TbISWI is a member of the ISWI subclass of SWI2/SNF2-related chromatin-remodelling complexes. (A) Schematic of TbISWI with protein domains identified using 3D-JIGSAW (version 2.0). A conserved SNF2 family N-terminal domain is indicated with a green oval, conserved helicase C-terminal domain with an orange hexagon, and a Myb-like DNA-binding domain with a red oval. (B) Alignment of TbISWI over the SNF2 and helicase domains with other ISWI family members: Saccharomyces cerevisiae ISWI2p, S. cerevisiae ISWI2p, Caenorhabditis elegans ISWI homologue protein 1, Drosophila melanogaster ISWI isoform C, Xenopus laevis ISWI, Mus musculus DNAdependent ATPase SNF2 H, and Homo sapiens SNF2 H (accession numbers in the Materials and methods). Seven conserved regions characteristic of ATPase/helicase motifs are indicated with numbered boxes, with particularly essential amino acids indicated by asterisks (Richmond and Peterson, 1996). Identical amino acids are highlighted in yellow, with conservative or similar amino-acid changes highlighted with blue or green blocks respectively. (C) TblSWI transcript is expressed in both insect and bloodstream-form T. brucei. Northern blot analysis of RNA from wild-type insect-form T. brucei (Lane 1), insect-form T. brucei 29–13 (Lane 2), or bloodstream-form T. brucei HNIR1(221 +) (Lane 3). The blot was hybridised with a probe for TbISWI or tubulin (Tub) as a loading control. (D) TbISWI is expressed at comparable levels in both insect-form (PF) or bloodstream-form (BF) T. brucei. The Western blot was reacted with an antibody against TbISWI, and as a loading control with an antibody against BiP.

domain, which could play a role in contact of TbISWI with DNA, but does not appear to have a clear SANT/SLIDE domain. We have nonetheless categorised our protein as an ISWI on the basis of the high homology with other ISWIs, and the lack of other ISWI candidates in T. brucei. TbISWI is expressed in both insect and bloodstream-form T. brucei at comparable levels (Figure 2C and D) as detected using a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against the C-terminal 207 aa of TbISWI.

Inactivation of TbISWI by RNAi in insect-form T. brucei leads to a growth arrest and VSG expression site upregulation

We first tested the role of TbISWI in the *T. brucei* D1 cell line containing DsRed integrated behind an ES promoter located on a chromosomal band containing the VSG121 ES. Induction of RNAi against TbISWI using a tetracycline-inducible system led to a growth reduction in insect-form T. brucei D1-SA1 and D1-SA2 after about 6 days (Figure 3A). This phenotype was also observed using another nonoverlapping TbISWI RNAi fragment (result not shown). Using Western blot analysis, there was almost complete depletion of a band, which appeared to correspond to the TbISWI protein after 2 days induction of TbISWI RNAi (Figure 3B). This lag between the reduction in TbISWI protein to undetectable levels, and the appearance of the growth arrest could indicate that very low levels of TbISWI can still rescue the cell. Alternatively, the growth arrest could be an indirect consequence of TbISWI knock down.

We next used flow cytometry to monitor DsRed expression from the ES promoter in this cell line after the induction of TbISWI RNAi. The T. brucei D1-SA1 and D1-SA2 cell lines started to show derepression of DsRed 2-4 days after induction of TbISWI RNAi, which reached 10-17-fold background after 6-10 days induction (Figure 3C). Comparable ES derepression was also seen with the T. brucei D3-SA1 cell line, where DsRed was integrated behind an ES promoter on the VSG221 ES-containing chromosome (parental T. brucei D3 cell line) (Figure 3D). ES derepression was not observed when RNAi was induced against other unrelated essential




Figure 3 Blocking synthesis of TbISWI results in ES derepression in insect-form T. brucei. (A) Growth curves in insect-form T. brucei after induction of RNAi against TbISWI with tetracycline. Growth of the parental T. brucei 29-13 D1 cell line (D1) is compared with two independent T. brucei TbISWI RNAi transformants D1-SA1 and DA-SA2 in the presence (+) or absence (-) of tetracycline. The cumulative cell density is indicated on the y axis as cells/ml multiplied by 10⁵. Time is indicated in days. (B) Disappearance of TbISWI protein after the induction of TbISWI RNAi in insect-form T. brucei. Protein lysates from the parental T. brucei 29-13 D1 cell line (D1) were compared with those from T. brucei D1-SA1 or D1-SA2. Lysates were isolated from cells after the induction of TbISWI RNAi with tetracycline for 0, 2, 4, 6 or 8 days. TbISWI as well as a cross-reacting band are indicated by arrows. As a loading control the blot was reacted with an antibody against BiP (BiP). (C) Derepression of DsRed marked ES promoters after induction of RNAi against TbISWI. The T. brucei 29-13 D1 cell lines contain a DsRed containing construct inserted behind a promoter on the VSG121 ES containing chromosome. DsRed expression was monitored by flow cytometry in the FL-2 channel in the T. brucei D1-SA1 or D1-SA2 cell lines grown in the presence (+) or absence (-) of tetracycline (Tet) to induce TbISWI RNAi. The total mean fluorescence is plotted over time. Examples of FACS traces from an uninduced population or one with TbISWI RNAi induced for 10 days are shown above. Results are presented as the mean of three experiments, with standard deviation indicated with error bars. (D) Promoters from different ESs are derepressed after the induction of TbISWI RNAi. TbISWI RNAi was induced in the T. brucei 29-13 D3 cell line, where DsRed is inserted behind an ES promoter on the VSG221 ES containing chromosome. The parental T. brucei 29-13 D3 cell line (D3) does not contain a TbISWI RNAi construct, and is compared with T. brucei transformants D3-SA1 and D3-SA2 grown in the presence (+) or absence (-) of tetracycline to induce TbISWI RNAi. Mean fluorescence in the FL2 channel is indicated on the Y-axis. Representative flow cytometry traces are shown for cells grown for 0 or 9 days in the presence of tetracycline.

Α

genes, indicating that derepression of DsRed was not simply a stress response caused by lack of an essential protein. Genes tested included NUP1 (Rout and Field, 2001), DAC1 (Ingram and Horn, 2002) and TDP-1 (Erondu and Donelson 1992), whereby induction of RNAi resulted in a growth reduction within 3 days; however, no significant VSG expression-site derepression was observed over a period extending up to 9 days (results not shown).

ES promoters are flanked downstream by different families of ESAGs. After induction of TbISWI RNAi, transcription from the normally downregulated ES promoters extended through the adjacent ESAG7, ESAG6 and ESAG5 (Figure 4A and B). These derepressed transcripts were also present as variants with nucleotide lengths longer than expected for mature transcripts, possibly indicating inefficient trans-splicing or polyadenylation. Alternatively, these larger ESAG5 transcripts

DRed Blast

Expression-site associated genes




Figure 4 Transcription of derepressed ESs after the induction of TbISWI RNAi in insect-form T. brucei. (A) A schematic of a typical ES is shown with the promoter indicated by a flag, the integrated ESDsB construct indicated as per Figure 1A, and adjacent ES associated genes (ESAGs) with numbered boxes. Northern blot analysis shows RNA from bloodstream-form T. brucei 90-13 (B), insect-form T. brucei 29-13 (P) and the parental T. brucei 29-13 D1 cell line (D), which has a DsRed containing construct integrated behind an ES promoter. These lanes are compared with RNA from the T. brucei D1-SA1 or D1-SA2 cell lines after the induction of TbISWI RNAi for the time in days indicated above. The blot was hybridised with probes for TbISWI, DsRed, ESAG6/7, and ESAG5. The ethidium stained gel is shown below (Eth). (B) Quantitation of the increase in DsRed, ESAG6/7 and ESAG5 transcript in the T. brucei D1-SA1 and D1-SA2 cell lines after the induction of TbISWI RNAi for the number of days shown below. Radioactive signal is indicated as counts per mm² in arbitrary units.

could be of varying sizes as they are derived from polymorphic ESAG5 genes present in multiple derepressed ESs. No increase in transcripts was observed from the ESAG4 or ESAG8 genes located downstream of ESAG5 (result not shown). We did not see evidence for upregulated transcripts derived from the 177 bp repeat sequences present on transcriptionally silent minichromosomes after the induction of TbISWI RNAi (result not shown). However, as the 177 bp repeat arrays do not contain RNA-processing signals, fortuitous transcription initiation in these areas of the genome would not necessarily give rise to stable transcripts.

TbISWI is localised in the nucleus and is present in the chromatin fraction of both insect and bloodstream-form T. brucei

The cellular localisation of TbISWI was determined by expressing TbISWI-GFP fusion protein from a tetracyclineinducible T7 promoter. TbISWI-GFP protein localised to the nucleus of both insect and bloodstream-form T. brucei (Figure 5A). Next, we determined if TbISWI was present in chromatin-enriched cell fractions. Cells can be fractionated into a pellet fraction containing histone H3 as a marker for chromatin, and a supernatant fraction containing nonchromatin-associated proteins, including the nuclear RNA-binding protein La (Arhin et al, 2005; DiPaolo et al, 2005). At low salt concentrations, TbISWI was present in the pellet fraction together with histone H3, indicating that it is associated with chromatin (Figure 5B). In contrast, the RNA-binding protein La was present in the supernatant. Performing this fractionation procedure in the presence of increasing concentrations of NaCl showed that TbISWI was released into the supernatant at a concentration between 200 and 300 mM NaCl. This indicates that TbISWI binds DNA with a lower affinity than histone H3, which remained associated with the chromatin fraction in up to 500 mM NaCl (Stunnenberg and Birnstiel, 1982). Equivalent results were obtained using fractionated lysates from insect-form T. brucei (results not shown). These results are all compatible with TbISWI being a chromatin-associated protein critical for ES downregulation in both insect and bloodstream-form T. brucei.

TbISWI is essential in bloodstream-form T. brucei and is involved in downregulation of silent VSG ESs

We next developed an experimental approach allowing us to investigate the role of TbISWI in ES control in bloodstreamform T. brucei (Figure 6A). A construct containing GFP was integrated downstream of the promoter of the VSG221 ES in the bloodstream-form T. brucei 'single-marker' line containing the T7 RNA polymerase and tetracycline repressor genes allowing tetracycline-inducible expression (Wirtz et al, 1999). A construct allowing tetracycline-inducible VSG221 RNAi was introduced into these VSG221-expressing cells (Sheader et al, 2005). Induction of VSG221 RNAi allows the selection of cells, which have switched to the expression of different VSGs (Aitcheson et al, 2005). After screening for cells that had activated the VSGT3 ES, we integrated a construct containing a blasticidin-resistance gene immediately behind the VSGT3 ES promoter. This allowed us to maintain cultures of trypanosomes, which were homogeneous for expression of the active VSGT3 ES. Subsequently, a TbISWI RNAi construct (MC¹⁷⁷ TbISWI-A) was integrated into this cell line, allowing for monitoring for derepression of GFP integrated behind




Figure 5 TbISWI has a nuclear localisation and is present in the chromatin fraction. (A) TbISWI-GFP fusion protein localises in the nucleus of both insect-form (PF) and bloodstream-form (BF) T. brucei. Results are shown after 8h induction of TbISWI-GFP in insect-form T. brucei (PF) or bloodstream-form T. brucei (BF). Panels show trypanosomes imaged using differential interference contrast (DIC). DNA is stained with DAPI, and TbISWI-GFP is visualised in the FITC channel. (B) TbISWI colocalises with histone H3 in the chromatin fraction of BF T. brucei. Cell lysates were fractionated into a pellet (P) and supernatant (S) fraction in the presence of increasing NaCl (lanes 1-7 respectively 0, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 mM NaCl). Panels were reacted with an antibody against TbIWSI (TbISWI), histone H3 (H3) or the RNA-binding protein La (La).

the silent VSG221 ES promoter after the induction of TbISWI

Induction of TbISWI RNAi in bloodstream-form T. brucei resulted in a reduction in growth rate after about 48 h (Figure 6B). The induction of TbISWI RNAi resulted in depletion of TbISWI protein, which was undetectable by 24 h, as monitored by Western blot analysis in T. brucei T3-SA1 (Figure 6C). Comparable depletion of TbISWI protein was seen in T. brucei T3-SA2 (result not shown). Induction of TbISWI RNAi in VSGT3 expressors led to an average 61-fold (+11) upregulation of the silent VSG221 ES in two independent T. brucei T3 TbISWI RNAi transformants (Figure 6D). Levels of GFP expression increased steadily after induction of TbISWI RNAi for 24 h, reaching maximal levels at about 80 h

To determine if derepression is observed in *T. brucei* with different active ESs, we constructed a bloodstream-form T. brucei line containing GFP in the silent VSG221 ES, but containing an active VSG121 ES. This cell line had a construct with the blasticidin-resistance gene inserted within the VSG121 ES to allow selection of a homogeneous population of VSG121 expressors, in addition to the TbISWI RNAi construct (MC177 TbISWI-A). Induction of TbISWI RNAi led to an average 34-fold (± 12) derepression of the VSG221 ES in two independent VSG121-expressing T. brucei lines (Figure 6E). Western blot analysis showed that depletion of TbISWI was essentially comparable in both the VSGT3- and VSG121expressing lines (result not shown). Similar to our results with insect-form T. brucei, induction of RNAi against a number of unrelated essential genes did not lead to significant ES derepression (result not shown), indicating that we were not observing a nonspecific phenotype caused by stressrelated nonspecific RNAi effects.

Using real-time PCR we monitored transcript levels from silent ESs after the induction of TbISWI RNAi. Amounts of GFP transcript from the silent VSG221 ES rose to more than 60-fold background in trypanosomes containing either the VSGT3 or the VSG121 ES active (Figure 7). Although transcripts derived from five different telomeric VSGs located in silent ESs could sometimes be observed, levels were low and variable (Figure 7). We found no evidence for significant reproduceable increases in VSG transcript levels after inducing TbISWI RNAi. This result was the same even if drug selection pressure was removed from the active ES. This indicates that inhibition of the synthesis of TbISWI leads to the derepression of ES promoters, but it does not appear to lead to full activation of the silent ESs, or an increase in rates of ES switching.

It is likely that transcription from the derepressed 'silent' VSG expression site promoters does not extend down to the telomeric VSG, although we cannot exclude a scenario, whereby transcripts from the derepressed 'silent' ESs are not being processed properly. For technical reasons knock down of TbISWI was performed in cells still containing the VSG221 RNAi construct. However, as these cells contain a different VSG in the active ES, they would not be expected to arrest in the presence of VSG221 RNAi, as is observed when synthesis of the active VSG is blocked by RNAi (Sheader et al, 2005). Lastly, we determined levels of transcript from another downregulated Pol I transcription unit (procyclin). Procyclin transcript did not increase after the induction of TbISWI RNAi for up to 48 h, during which period growth inhibition and VSG expression site derepression can be observed (result not

In summary, our results show that TbISWI plays an important role in ES downregulation in both life-cycle stages of T. brucei. Inducing ES promoter derepression does not lead to a significant increase in ES activation, indicating that ES activation is a multistep process.

Discussion

We have identified TbISWI as the first protein shown to play a role in ES downregulation in T. brucei. Sequence analysis indicates that TbISWI is a member of the ISWI family of SWI2/SNF2-related chromatin-remodelling proteins. As expected for an ISWI, TbISWI has a nuclear localisation, and is associated with chromatin. TbISWI depletion leads to 30-60-fold derepression of ESs in bloodstream-form T. brucei, and 10-17-fold derepression of ESs in the insect-




Figure 6 TbISWI is involved in VSG expression site downregulation in bloodstream-form T. brucei. (A) The bloodstream-form T. brucei T3-SA1 cell line used for investigating VSG expression site control using RNAi and flow cytometry. The large box indicates a trypanosome expressing VSGT3. ESs are shown with flags for the promoters, and relevant genes including GFP, blasticidin resistance (Blast) and VSG221, VSGT3 and VSG121 with filled boxes. The T. brucei T3-SA1 cell line contains genes encoding T7 RNA polymerase and the tetracycline repressor (TetR) allowing tetracycline-inducible transcription from modified T7 promoters (black flags). A TbISWI RNAi construct is integrated into these cells. Derepression of the GFP marked VSG221 ES can be monitored by flow cytometry. (B) Growth reduction after the induction of TbISWI RNAi in VSGT3 expressing T. brucei. T. brucei T3SA1 and T3SA2 cell lines were grown in the presence (+) or absence (-) of tetracycline to induce TbISWI RNAi. In comparison, the parental VSGT3 expressing cell line T. brucei VSGT3-SM (T3) did not contain an RNAi construct. The cell density is indicated as a cumulative amount multiplied by 10⁵, and time is indicated in hours. (C) Disappearance of TbISWI protein after induction of TbISWI RNAi in bloodstream-form T. brucei expressing VSGT3. Protein lysate from bloodstream-form T. brucei expressing a TbISWI-GFP fusion protein (SG) was compared with lysate from the parental bloodstream-form T. brucei VSGT3-SM cell line (T3) or T. brucei TbISWI RNAi transformant T3-SA1, where TbISWI RNAi had been induced for 0, 6, 12, 24 or 48 h. The top panels show blots reacted with a polyclonal anti-TbISWI antiserum, with the bands containing TbISWI and the TbISWI-GFP fusion protein indicated with arrows. The bottom panels show an internal cross-reactive band which functions as a loading control. Comparable results were found with the T. brucei T3-SA2 cell line. (D) Derepression of the GFP marked VSG221 ES after the induction of TbISWI RNAi in VSGT3 expressing T. brucei. The parental T. brucei VSGT3-SM cell line (T3) is compared with the T. brucei T3SA1 and T3SA2 cell lines containing the TbISWI RNAi construct. The upper panel shows the representative flow cytometry traces with fluorescence in the FL1 channel indicated on the x axis. The bottom panel shows the quantitation of a representative experiment through time in the presence (+) or absence (-) of tetracycline to induce *TbISWI* RNAi. (E) *GFP* is derepressed after the induction of TbISWI RNAi in VSG121 expressing T. brucei. The T. brucei VSG121-SM cell line (121) is compared with the T. brucei 121SA1 and 121SA2 cell lines containing the TbISWI RNAi construct. Flow cytometry traces and quantitation of an experiment performed in the presence (+) or absence (-) of tetracycline are as indicated above (C).

form. Despite the striking derepression of ES promoters in the presence of TbISWI RNAi, we have no evidence that this promoter derepression results in an increase in full activation of silent ESs. This indicates that ES activation is multistep pathway, including additional steps in addition to promoter activation.

TbISWI as a member of the ISWI family

Is TbISWI really a member of the ISWI family? ISWI proteins form a subclass of the SWI2/SNF2-related chromatin-remodelling complexes. In addition to the highly conserved SNF2

domain, ISWI proteins have a SANT domain at the C-terminus with strong similarity to the DNA-binding domain of Myb-related proteins (Boyer et al, 2002). This domain is separated by a long helical spacer from a SLIDE domain, which is closely related to SANT domains but is unique to ISWI proteins (Grune et al, 2003; Mellor and Morillon, 2004). It has been proposed that the SLIDE domain is involved in DNA contact, whereas the SANT domain binds histone tails (Bover et al. 2004).

TbISWI has a highly conserved SNF2 domain, which in database interrogations preferentially identifies ISWI proteins




Figure 7 Derepression of silent ES promoters does not lead to an increase in transcription of telomeric VSGs located in silent ESs. (A) Transcript analysis in T. brucei T3SA1 (VSGT3 ES active) after the induction of TbISWI RNAi for the time indicated in hours. RNA was isolated at various time points, and real-time PCR was used to monitor levels of transcript from GFP or various telomeric VSGs located in silent ESs. Transcript levels were normalised against γ tubulin as an internal control, and the fold increase relative to the 0 h time point is shown on the x axis. Results are the average of three measurements, with the standard deviation indicated with error bars. (B) Analysis of transcription in T. brucei 121SA1 (active VSG121 ES) after the induction of TbISWI RNAi for the times indicated. The experiment was further performed as in (A).

72

96

n

O

24

48

from other species. However, it does not appear to have an obvious C-terminal SANT or SLIDE domain. Instead, it has a region at the C terminus with homology to a myb-like DNA-binding domain. As SANT and SLIDE domains are subclasses of myb domains, we find the similarity to typical ISWI architecture sufficiently close to categorise TbISWI as a member of the ISWI family.

The role of TbISWI in VSG expression site regulation

An unexpected feature of our results is that TbISWI plays a role in ES downregulation in both T. brucei life-cycle stages. There are fundamental differences in how ES downregulation is mediated mechanistically in bloodstream versus insectform T. brucei. In the bloodstream-form, ES downregulation is not promoter sequence-specific, and an rDNA promoter inserted into an ES can be turned off and on essentially as well as the endogenous promoter (Horn and Cross, 1995; Rudenko et al, 1995). In contrast, in insect-form T. brucei, rDNA promoters located within the ES escape downregulation (Rudenko et al, 1994; Horn and Cross, 1997).

However, it is unclear how this ES downregulation is mediated. It has been proposed that repressed chromatin does not play a role in ES downregulation in bloodstreamform T. brucei. For example, no differences have been found in nuclease sensitivity of silent compared with active ESs (Navarro and Cross, 1998). In their study, a DNase hypersensitive site was detected within the core promoter of both silent and active ESs, arguing that a protein complex is bound irrespective of ES activity. In addition, experiments probing the accessibility of ES chromatin using exogenous T7 RNA polymerase did not find evidence for a repressed chromatin structure in inactive ESs in bloodstream-form T. brucei (Navarro et al, 1999). In insect-form T. brucei, downregulated ESs were not accessible for T7 transcription, arguing that a life-cycle-specific repressed chromatin structure could play a role in ES downregulation in this life-cycle stage (Navarro et al, 1999). In contrast, our experiments showing the involvement of a putative chromatin-remodelling protein suggest that chromatin structure does play a role in ES downregulation in both life-cycle stages of *T. brucei*.

ESs are transcribed by pol I rather than pol II (Günzl et al, 2003). There is precedent for ISWI family members regulating transcription mediated by pol I as well as pol II. For example, the mammalian ISWI protein SNF2h is present in the multiprotein nucleolar remodelling complex, and plays an active role in establishing the repressed chromatin state, which silences about half of the rDNA transcription units (Strohner et al, 2001; 2004).

Although there are arguments for the presence of a repressed chromatin structure in ESs in at least insect-form T. brucei, it is still not clear if downregulation of transcription is at the level of transcription initiation or elongation (Vanhamme et al, 2000; Pays et al, 2004). Arguments for the latter model include the observation that ES shut down as the bloodstream-form trypanosome differentiates to either the insect-form or the nondividing short stumpy form entails progressive stalling of the RNA polymerase on the ES (Pays et al, 2004). Our results are compatible with both models for ES control, as ISWI proteins can have multiple roles in transcription regulation.

Multiple roles for ISWIs

ISWI proteins are involved in a variety of processes, and can play a role in transcription elongation and termination as well as transcription initiation (Mellor and Morillon, 2004). These different functional activities are mediated by complexes containing ISWI interacting with different protein partners, allowing the same protein to have different functions within the same organism (Corona and Tamkun, 2004; Mellor and Morillon, 2004). For example, in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Isw1p complexed with the protein partner Ioc3p can prevent transcription initiation at pol II promoters (Moreau et al., 2003). However, complexed with the protein partners Ioc2p and Ioc4p, it can prevent transcription elongation of stalled RNA polymerase (Morillon et al, 2003). High levels of this latter complex are also thought to allow efficient transcription termination (Morillon et al, 2003). A knock down of Isw1p can therefore lead to inappropriate transcription through multiple mechanisms.

Using the data presented here, we cannot distinguish between a scenario, whereby TbISWI blocks transcription initiation at silent VSG expression site promoters or prevents elongation of already initiated RNA polymerases. The arguments that VSG expression site control occurs mainly at the level of transcription elongation have been presented in Pays et al (2004). A plausible explanation for the observed ES derepression in *T. brucei* is that blocking synthesis of TbISWI leads to the release of stalled RNA polymerases. This would be comparable to the role of Isw1p in the Isw1b complex in S. cerevisiae, where it is complexed with the proteins Ioc2 and Ioc4. Identification of the TbISWI partners through affinity purification with epitope-tagged TbISWI should give us insight into the number of ISWI-containing complexes present in T. brucei. Inducible RNAi-mediated knock downs of these different ISWI-binding partners should allow us to dissect the different roles that TbISWI can play in T. brucei. It is possible that ES derepression mediated by TbISWI is operating in a mechanistically different fashion in the two life-cycle stages of T. brucei.

Other functions for TbISWI

It is highly unlikely that TbISWI is operating exclusively on ES downregulation. First of all, it was initially identified as a protein binding the 177 bp repeats, which comprise the bulk of the nontranscribed minichromosomes of African trypanosomes. Possibly, it plays a role in preventing fortuitous transcription initiation on these small chromosomes, thereby preventing inappropriate transcription of silent VSGs in the bloodstream-form. The role of TbISWI in silencing different transcriptionally inactive regions of the trypanosome genome still needs to be investigated.

TbISWI is the first protein shown to play a role in ES downregulation in *T. brucei*, but there are sure to be more. Our results raise some additional interesting questions. Levels of ES derepression after the induction of TbISWI RNAi in bloodstream-form T. brucei are at up to 10% of an active ES. These submaximal levels of transcription could indicate that one or more transcription factors are limiting. As the active ES is transcribed at an extremely high rate, it might therefore be impossible for the cell to maximally transcribe all 20 ESs. Alternatively, location of an ES in the discrete subnuclear location of the ESB (Navarro and Gull, 2001) could provide one or more factors essential for fully competent ES activation.

Our data indicate that ES activation is a multistep process. We did not find evidence that transcription from derepressed ES promoters extends to the telomeric VSG, or that there is an increased rate of ES activation after inducing TbISWI RNAi in bloodstream-form *T. brucei*. One explanation is that although silent ES promoters are derepressed in the presence of TbISWI RNAi, they are still excluded from the subnuclear compartment containing the active ES (ESB). This exclusion could prevent full ES activation, as the ESB might be an essential location for fully processive transcription. Nonetheless, we show that TbISWI plays a critical role in at least one of the steps of ES downregulation. In addition, our results show the first indication that chromatin remodelling plays a critical role in ES control in both life-cycle stages of T. brucei. Dissecting the different layers of this control will provide a challenge for the future.

Materials and methods

Trypanosome strains

Insect-form T. brucei 29-13 TBT has the TBT construct integrated into T. brucei 29-13 (Wirtz et al, 1999) (K Hughes and G Rudenko,

unpublished results). T. brucei 29-13 D1-D4 lines have the ESDsB construct integrated behind ES promoters in *T. brucei* 29–13. *T. brucei* D1-SA1, D1-SA2, D3-SA1 and D3-SA2 lines have an MC¹⁷⁷ TbISWI-A RNAi construct integrated into either *T. brucei* 29–13 D1 or D3. *T. brucei* 29–13 MC¹⁷⁷ TbISWI-GFP has the MC¹⁷⁷ TbISWI-GFP construct integrated into *T. brucei* 29–13.

The bloodstream-form T. brucei T3-SM cell line is derived from the 'single marker' cell line (Wirtz et al, 1999), and has an active VSGT3 ES. This cell line was derived from a VSG221-expressing line, which had a 221GP1 construct (Sheader et al, 2004) integrated into the VSG221 ES and an MC¹⁷⁷VSG221 RNAi construct (Sheader et al, 2005) integrated into a minichromosome. VSG221 RNAi was induced, and a VSG switch variant, which had activated the VSGT3 ES, was isolated. A construct containing a blasticidin-resistance gene was inserted immediately behind the promoter of the VSGT3 ES to maintain selection for this active ES. The MC177 TbISWI-A construct was integrated into a minichromosome producing the T. brucei T3-SA1 and T3-SA2 cell lines. T. brucei 121-SA1 and 121-SA2 lines are the same as above, but with the VSG121 ES active. T. brucei 90–13 MC^{177} TbISWI-GFP has the MC^{177} TbISWI-GFP construct integrated into bloodstream-form T. brucei 90–13 (Wirtz et al, 1999). T. brucei HNIR1(221 +) is described in Rudenko et al (1998).

Sequence analysis

Protein domains were determined using programmes including 3D-JIGSAW (version 2.0) and SMART. TbISWI (GeneDB accession No. Tb927.2.1810) was compared with: S. cerevisiae ISWI2p (accession no. NP_014948), S. cerevisiae ISWI1p (accession no. NP_009804), Caenorhabditis elegans ISWI1 (accession no. AAA50636), Drosophila melanogaster ISWI isoform C (accession no. NP_725204), Xenopus laevis ISWI (accession no. AAH76715), Mus musculus SNF2 H (accession no. AAK52454) and Homo sapiens SNF2 H (accession no. AAH23144).

The target fragments in the ESDsB construct are the same as in the 221GP1 construct (Sheader et al, 2004). DsRed2 (Clontech) is flanked by α-tubulin RNA-processing signals (Rudenko et al, 1994). The blasticidin-resistance gene is flanked downstream by an actin intergenic region. The rDDsB construct integrates DsRed into an rDNA locus, and has an rDNA promoter on a 520 bp AluI fragment (White et al, 1986) cloned in front of the DsRed and blasticidinresistance gene cassette used in the ESDsB construct. An rDNA nontranscribed spacer target fragment from the p2T7^{Ti} A plasmid (LaCount et al, 2002) was cloned upstream of the rDNA promoter. The TBT construct has the blasticidin-resistance gene cloned between the intergenic regions of an α -tubulin gene. The MC¹⁷⁷ TbISWI-A RNAi construct has the 1190 bp *TbISWI*-A

fragment, (positions 4–1194 of the *TbISWI* open reading frame) cloned between the opposing T7 promoters of construct p2T7^{Ti}-177 (Wickstead *et al*, 2002). The MC¹⁷⁷ *TbISWI*-B RNAi target fragment is a 514 bp fragment from the 3' end of the TbISWI gene amplified using 5'-tatctagaACCGGTGCAGTTTTATACGG and 5'-tactcgagGA CGCTGCCACTAGTGATGA primers. A blasticidin-resistance gene containing construct was targeted behind the VSGT3 or VSG121 ES promoters using target fragments that were PCR amplified from the relevant ES TAR clone (Becker et al, 2004). The upstream fragment was amplified using 5'-cctctagaTACGCGTCTACTGAGG TAAGGAATATCGACG-3' and 5'-ccggatccGTCATGCATGAACCGACAA CGGTC-3' primers. The downstream fragment was amplified using 5'-ccctcgagGGAGACACTTGCACTTCGAGGTCCG-3' and either 5'-ccg aagettGCTTTATCCCGTGCCTACTGCGTC-3' (VSG121 ES), or 5'-ccgaag cttGCTTTATCCCGTGGTTCCTTTGTC-3' for the (VSGT3 ES) targeting construct.

The MC¹⁷⁷ TbISWI-GFP construct allowed inducible expression of TbISWI-GFP fusion protein. The TbISWI open reading frame was amplified from T. brucei genomic DNA using primers 5'-tatctagaATGGAGGCACCAGCAGGACG and 5'-taggatccTTATTCCG GAAACTTCCGCT. This was cloned into pDex577 (gift from Keith Gull laboratory) resulting in an in-frame fusion with GFP. This construct integrates into T. brucei minichromosomes using the 177 bp target sequence (Wickstead et al, 2002). TbISWI-His-tagged recombinant fusion protein was made by inserting a TbISWI fragment amplified using primers 5'-taggatccCTTTAACTGCTGTGG AGCGT and 5'-tactcgagTGATTATTCCGGAAACTTCC into pRSetC (Invitrogen).

Nucleic acid and protein analysis

Pulsed field gel analysis was performed using a CHEF-DRIII system (BioRad) (2.5 V/cm for 144 h with 1400-700 s switching time) (Aitcheson et al, 2005). Total T. brucei RNA was isolated using RNeasy RNA isolation kits (Qiagen). RNA was separated on formaldehyde agarose gels (Sambrook and Russell, 2001), and Northern and Southern blots were hybridised with radiolabelled probes made using a Megaprime kit (Amersham).

Protein lysates were made by centrifuging cells, washing once, and then resuspending in ice cold lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA and Roche complete protease inhibitors) at 10⁹ cells/ml. After incubation at 4°C for 15 min with gentle rotation, cells were spun at 14 000 g for 15 min at 4°C. After centrifugation, 5×10^6 cell equivalents of the supernatant were loaded per lane on 6% SDS-polyacrylamide gels. Gels were blotted onto Hybond-P (Amersham) and probed with rabbit polyclonal antibodies against TbISWI-C or BiP (gift from Jay Bangs, University of Wisconsin, USA) (Bangs et al, 1993). Detection was carried out using ECL Plus (Amersham). TbISWI-C polyclonal rabbit antiserum was made against His-tagged TbISWI-C fusion protein, which was purified using Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen). Rabbit polyclonal antisera were produced by Eurogentec (Belgium) using standard immunisation protocols.

The presence of TbISWI in chromatin was determined using a modification of the procedure in DiPaolo et al (2005). Protein lysates were prepared as described above in lysis buffer, supplemented with different concentrations of NaCl. Supernatant and pellet fractions were analysed on SDS-PAGE gels (10⁷ cell equivalents per lane). Anti-histone H3 antibody was a gift from Bob Sabatini and the anti-La antibody was a gift from Elisabetta Ullu.

References

- Aitcheson N, Talbot S, Shapiro J, Hughes K, Adkin C, Butt T, Sheader K, Rudenko G (2005) VSG switching in Trypanosoma brucei: antigenic variation analysed using RNAi in the absence of immune selection. Mol Microbiol 57: 1608-1622
- Arhin GK, Shen S, Perez IF, Tschudi C, Ullu E (2005) Downregulation of the essential Trypanosoma brucei La protein affects accumulation of elongator methionyl-tRNA. Mol Biochem Parasitol 144: 104-108
- Bangs JD, Uyetake L, Brickman MJ, Balber AE, Boothroyd JC (1993) Molecular cloning and cellular localization of a BiP homologue in Trypanosoma brucei. Divergent ER retention signals in a lower eukaryote. J Cell Sci 105: 1101-1113
- Barry JD, McCulloch R (2001) Antigenic variation in trypanosomes: enhanced phenotypic variation in a eukaryotic parasite. Adv Parasitol 49: 1-70
- Becker M, Aitcheson N, Byles E, Wickstead B, Louis E, Rudenko G (2004) Isolation of the repertoire of VSG expression site containing telomeres of Trypanosoma brucei 427 using transformationassociated recombination in yeast. Genome Res 14: 2319-2329
- Berriman M, Ghedin E, Hertz-Fowler C, Blandin G, Renauld H, Bartholomeu DC, Lennard NJ, Caler E, Hamlin NE, Haas B, Bohme U, Hannick L, Aslett MA, Shallom J, Marcello L, Hou L, Wickstead B, Alsmark UC, Arrowsmith C, Atkin RJ, Barron AJ, Bringaud F, Brooks K, Carrington M, Cherevach I, Chillingworth TJ, Churcher C, Clark LN, Corton CH, Cronin A, Davies RM, Doggett J, Djikeng A, Feldblyum T, Field MC, Fraser A, Goodhead I, Hance Z, Harper D, Harris BR, Hauser H, Hostetler J, Ivens A, Jagels K, Johnson D, Johnson J, Jones K, Kerhornou AX, Koo H, Larke N, Landfear S, Larkin C, Leech V, Line A, Lord A, Macleod A, Mooney PJ, Moule S, Martin DM, Morgan GW, Mungall K, Norbertezak H, Ormond D, Pai G, Peacock CS, Peterson J, Quail MA, Rabbinowitsch E, Rajandream MA, Reitter C, Salzberg SL, Sanders M, Schobel S, Sharp S, Simmonds M, Simpson AJ, Tallon L, Turner CM, Tait A, Tivey AR, Van Aken S, Walker D, Wanless D, Wang S, White B, White O, Whitehead S, Woodward J, Wortman J, Adams MD, Embley TM, Gull K, Ullu E, Barry JD, Fairlamb AH, Opperdoes F, Barrell BG, Donelson JE, Hall N, Fraser CM, Melville SE, El-Sayed NM (2005) The genome of the African trypanosome Trypanosoma brucei. Science 309: 416–422

Expression analysis

Flow cytometry was carried out using a Becton Dickinson FACSCalibur and CellQuest (BD) software. The mean of all events was calculated using Histogram Stats in CellQuest software.

To determine the localisation of the GFP-TbISWI fusion protein, cells expressing the appropriate GFP-TbISWI expression construct were induced with $750\,\mathrm{ng\,ml}^{-1}$ tetracycline for 8 (insect-form T. brucei) or 20 h (bloodstream-form). Cells were washed and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde before further processing for microscopic analysis, which was performed with a Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope.

Real-time PCR was performed using total RNA isolated from trypanosomes using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen). RNA was treated with DNase I (Roche), and cDNA was made using Omniscript reverse transcriptase (Qiagen) and oligodT(18) primer. Real-time PCR was performed on an ABI 7000 sequencing detection system (SDS) using Brilliant®SYBR®Green PCR master mix (Stratagene). A control without reverse transcriptase was made using DNAse I-treated RNA from each time point. Levels of transcript were normalised using γ tubulin for each time point and then plotted as fold increase relative to the 0 h time point.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Jane Mellor for stimulating discussions and advice on ISWIs, and we thank David Delameillieure, Marcus Gould, Manish Kushwaha and Jay Taylor for comments on the manuscript. We also thank Suzanne Talbot and James Minchin for making cell lines, George Cross for T. brucei lines, Bill Wickstead, Steve Kelly and Keith Gull for plasmid vectors and Bob Sabatini, Elisabetta Ullu and Jay Bangs for antibodies. GR is a Wellcome Senior Fellow in the Basic Biomedical Sciences. This research was funded by the Wellcome Trust.

- Borst P, Ulbert S (2001) Control of VSG gene expression sites. Mol Biochem Parasitol 114: 17-27
- Boyer LA, Langer MR, Crowley KA, Tan S, Denu JM, Peterson CL (2002) Essential role for the SANT domain in the functioning of multiple chromatin remodeling enzymes. Mol Cell 10: 935-942
- Boyer LA, Latek RR, Peterson CL (2004) The SANT domain: a unique histone-tail-binding module? Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5: 158-163
- Chaves I, Rudenko G, Dirks-Mulder A, Cross M, Borst P (1999) Control of variant surface glycoprotein gene-expression sites in Trypanosoma brucei. EMBOJ 18: 4846-4855
- Corona DF, Tamkun JW (2004) Multiple roles for ISWI in transcription, chromosome organization and DNA replication. Biochim Biophys Acta 1677: 113-119
- DiPaolo C, Kieft R, Cross M, Sabatini R (2005) Regulation of trypanosome DNA glycosylation by a SWI2/SNF2-like protein. Mol Cell 17: 441-451
- Eisen JA, Sweder KS, Hanawalt PC (1995) Evolution of the SNF2 family of proteins: subfamilies with distinct sequences and functions. Nucleic Acids Res 23: 2715-2723
- Erondu NE, Donelson JE (1992) Differential expression of two mRNAs from a single gene encoding an HMG1-like DNA binding protein of African trypanosomes. Mol Biochem Parasitol 51: 111-118
- Grune T, Brzeski J, Eberharter A, Clapier CR, Corona DF, Becker PB, Muller CW (2003) Crystal structure and functional analysis of a nucleosome recognition module of the remodeling factor ISWI. *Mol Cell* **12:** 449–460
- Günzl A, Bruderer T, Laufer G, Schimanski B, Tu LC, Chung HM, Lee PT, Lee MG (2003) RNA polymerase I transcribes procyclin genes and variant surface glycoprotein gene expression sites in Trypanosoma brucei. Eukaryot Cell 2: 542-551
- Horn D, Cross GA (1995) A developmentally regulated position effect at a telomeric locus in Trypanosoma brucei. Cell 83: 555-561
- Horn D, Cross GA (1997) Position-dependent and promoter-specific regulation of gene expression in Trypanosoma brucei. EMBO J 16: 7422-7431
- Ingram AK, Horn D (2002) Histone deacetylases in Trypanosoma brucei: two are essential and another is required for normal cell cycle progression. Mol Micro 45: 89-97

- LaCount DJ, Barrett B, Donelson JE (2002) Trypanosoma brucei FLA1 is required for flagellum attachment and cytokinesis. J Biol Chem 277: 17580-17588
- Lomvardas S, Barnea G, Pisapia DJ, Mendelsohn M, Kirkland J, Axel R (2006) Interchromosomal interactions and olfactory receptor choice. Cell 126: 403-413
- Mellor J, Morillon A (2004) ISWI complexes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochim Biophys Acta 1677: 100-112
- Mohrmann L, Verrijzer CP (2005) Composition and functional specificity of SWI2/SNF2 class chromatin remodeling complexes. Biochim Biophys Acta 1681: 59-73
- Moreau JL, Lee M, Mahachi N, Vary J, Mellor J, Tsukiyama T, Goding CR (2003) Regulated displacement of TBP from the PHO8 promoter in vivo requires Cbf1 and the Isw1 chromatin remodeling complex. Mol Cell 11: 1609-1620
- Morillon A, Karabetsou N, O'Sullivan J, Kent N, Proudfoot N, Mellor J (2003) Isw1 chromatin remodeling ATPase coordinates transcription elongation and termination by RNA polymerase II. Cell 115: 425-435
- Navarro M, Cross GA (1998) In situ analysis of a variant surface glycoprotein expression-site promoter region in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 94: 53-66
- Navarro M, Cross GA, Wirtz E (1999) Trypanosoma brucei variant surface glycoprotein regulation involves coupled activation/ inactivation and chromatin remodeling of expression sites. EMBO J 18: 2265-2272
- Navarro M, Gull K (2001) A pol I transcriptional body associated with VSG mono-allelic expression in Trypanosoma brucei. Nature 414: 759-763
- Pays E, Vanhamme L, Perez-Morga D (2004) Antigenic variation in Trypanosoma brucei: facts, challenges and mysteries. Curr Opin Microbiol 7: 369-374
- Richmond E, Peterson CL (1996) Functional analysis of the DNAstimulated ATPase domain of yeast SWI2/SNF2. Nucleic Acids Res **24**: 3685-3692
- Roditi I, Liniger M (2002) Dressed for success: the surface coats of insect-borne protozoan parasites. Trends Microbiol 10: 128–134
- Rout MP, Field MC (2001) Isolation and characterization of subnuclear compartments from Trypanosoma brucei. J Biol Chem **276:** 38261-38271
- Rudenko G, Blundell PA, Dirks-Mulder A, Kieft R, Borst P (1995) A ribosomal DNA promoter replacing the promoter of a telomeric VSG gene expression site can be efficiently switched on and off in T. brucei. Cell 83: 547-553
- Rudenko G, Blundell PA, Taylor MC, Kieft R, Borst P (1994) VSG gene expression site control in insect form Trypanosoma brucei. EMBO J 13: 5470-5482
- Rudenko G, Chaves I, Dirks-Mulder A, Borst P (1998) Selection for activation of a new variant surface glycoprotein gene expression site in Trypanosoma brucei can result in deletion of the old one. Mol Biochem Parasitol 95: 97-109

- Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, 3rd edn, New York, USA: Cold Spring Harbour Press
- Scherf A (2006) A greedy promoter controls malarial Var-iations. Cell 124: 251-253
- Serizawa S, Miyamichi K, Sakano H (2004) One neuron-one receptor rule in the mouse olfactory system. Trends Genet 20:
- Sheader K, te Vruchte D, Rudenko G (2004) Bloodstream formspecific up-regulation of silent vsg expression sites and procyclin in Trypanosoma brucei after inhibition of DNA synthesis or DNA damage. J Biol Chem 279: 13363-13374
- Sheader K, Vaughan S, Minchin J, Hughes K, Gull K, Rudenko G (2005) Variant surface glycoprotein RNA interference triggers a precytokinesis cell cycle arrest in African trypanosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 8716-8721
- Strohner R, Nemeth A, Jansa P, Hofmann-Rohrer U, Santoro R, Langst G, Grummt I (2001) NoRC-a novel member of mammalian ISWI-containing chromatin remodeling machines. EMBO J 20: 4892-4900
- Strohner R, Nemeth A, Nightingale KP, Grummt I, Becker PB, Langst G (2004) Recruitment of the nucleolar remodeling complex NoRC establishes ribosomal DNA silencing in chromatin. Mol Cell Biol 24: 1791-1798
- Stunnenberg HG, Birnstiel ML (1982) Bioassay for components regulating eukaryotic gene expression: a chromosomal factor involved in the generation of histone mRNA 3' termini. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 79: 6201-6204
- Vanhamme L, Poelvoorde P, Pays A, Tebabi P, Van Xong H, Pays E (2000) Differential RNA elongation controls the variant surface glycoprotein gene expression sites of Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Microbiol 36: 328-340
- Voss TS, Healer J, Marty AJ, Duffy MF, Thompson JK, Beeson JG, Reeder JC, Crabb BS, Cowman A (2006) A var gene promoter controls allelic exclusion of virulence genes in Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Nature 439: 1004-1008
- White TC, Rudenko G, Borst P (1986) Three small RNAs within the 10 kb trypanosome rRNA transcription unit are analogous to domain VII of other eukaryotic 28S rRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res 14: 9471-9489
- Wickstead B, Ersfeld K, Gull K (2002) Targeting of a tetracyclineinducible expression system to the transcriptionally silent minichromosomes of Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 125:
- Wickstead B, Ersfeld K, Gull K (2004) The small chromosomes of Trypanosoma brucei involved in antigenic variation are constructed around repetitive palindromes. Genome Res 14: 1014-1024
- Wirtz E, Leal S, Ochatt C, Cross GA (1999) A tightly regulated inducible expression system for conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 99: 89-101